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Abstract

**Background:** The issue of ethics in commercial relations between the buyer and the seller is highly significant and if there are no solutions to ethical problems in these areas, the continuation of this process will lead to a shake-up in trade and commercial communications from the lower layers that are consumers up to the upper layers that are major traders. The first articles on ethical issues were published in the 1960s and were mostly philosophical articles. In such an atmosphere, having a good understanding of consumers' ethical behaviors and the process of consumption includes several advantages. These benefits include helping managers in their decision making, providing a cognitive basis through analyzing consumer behavior, helping legislators, and regulators to lay down rules for the purchase and sale of goods and services, and ultimately for consumers in the decision-making process. The purpose of this study is to investigate ethics in the of sports consumer behavior. In fact, researchers have found that observing ethical issues in transactions not only from the seller and the marketer's side, but also, from the consumer's side is important.

**Conclusion:** The results of the review of the research done on the relationship between individual factors such as age, gender, religion, and moral intensity show that in most cases, these variables have had an impact on ethical decision making. Therefore, further research in this area should be made to clarify the certainty of these effects.
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Introduction

In the past decade, research on ethical consumption has entered from cultural margins into the context of society (1-3). By developing models of consumer ethical behavior, researchers have tried to understand the reason for this change. These models are generally taken from the theory of planned behavior and suggest that consumers' ethical intentions are guided by personal values,
Ethics is a topic that has received remarkable attention in business and society over the last half century. The first articles on ethical issues were published in the 1960s and were mainly philosophical articles (11-14). Initial empirical work that looked at the decision-making process lacked theoretical foundations. The research on marketing ethics in the 1970s continued with simple works in this regard. A major part of these studies focused on seller and marketer's ethics (15-18). Consumer behavior was one of the important issues that was introduced and studied in marketing research, but paying attention to observance of ethics in consumer behavior is a new issue that was actually identified and investigated in the process of reviewing and analyzing consumer behavior and its effects on sales and the trade of sports products (19, 20). The researchers' focus was therefore on providing models that explain ethics, factors affecting decision making and consumer behavior. A look at the literature on consumer ethics suggests that in recent years much attention has been paid to ethical issues in the field of trade and on the part of the buyer. Indeed, researchers have found that respecting ethical issues in transactions not only from the seller and the marketer's side, but also on the part of the buyer is of growing importance, therefore, over time, more research should be done to explain the new and complex subject of consumer ethics which has not been taken into consideration in the not too distant past (21, 22). The research conducted in this field is mainly in foreign countries, and in Iran research has not been carried out on this subject. In this context, having a good understanding of consumer ethical behaviors and the process of consumption has several advantages (23, 24). These benefits include helping decision makers, providing a cognitive basis through analyzing consumer behavior, helping legislators and regulators of markets, and ultimately consumers in making better decisions. Consumer behavior also plays a vital role in designing promotional campaigns (25, 26). By knowing how audiences behave, media and the right message can be selected. In addition, studying consumer behavior can help us understand the factors related to the social sciences that affect human behavior. Accordingly, consumer behavior analysis is essential in some cases, such as marketing mix design, market segmentation and positioning and product differentiation (27, 28). According to rational principles, as buyers and consumers are more committed to complying with the ethical principles in their purchases, manufacturers and retailers will have less concern to prevent the harm caused by the immoral and criminal conduct of buyers, especially in large stores, and it will cost them less to control buyers (11, 29). This could have a significant positive effect on reducing the costs for vendors and manufacturers and increasing their profitability. At the same time, reducing the number of violations on the buyers' side is also less costly for vendors. If it is viewed at a wider and more national level, it can be considered even in the context of economic benefits for the whole country. But to achieve this goal, it is necessary that consideration of ethical principles by buyers, which is a relatively new and complex phenomenon and closely related to the principles and specific cultural values of each society, be investigated and explained by doing research, so that the right methods to deal with this problem can be obtained (30, 31).

**Ethics**

Is a series of acquired attributes and properties that humankind accepts as moral principles or, in other words, a spiritual framework for the human being, in which the human soul is constructed on that basis and based on it? In fact, morality is how the human spirit is (32, 33).
**Consumer behavior**

Consumer behavior is the decision process and the actions of those involved in the purchase and use of products, including purchases and other activities of those involved, related to consumption in the process of interchange (34, 35).

**Ethical Theories**

The subject of ethics has been a matter of philosophical debate for over 2500 years—as far back as the Greek philosopher Socrates. Different schools of thought have developed as to how we should go about living an ethical life. Ethical theories can be divided into three categories: virtue ethics, ethics for the greater good and universal ethics (36-38).

- **Virtue Ethics**
  Aristotle’s belief in individual character and integrity established a concept of living your life according to a commitment to the achievement of a clear ideal—what sort of person would I like to become, and how do I go about becoming that person? (39)
  The problem with virtue ethics is that societies can place different emphasis on different virtues. For example, Greek society at the time of Aristotle valued wisdom, courage, and justice. By contrast, Christian societies value faith, hope, and charity (40).

- **Ethics for the greater good**
  Ethics for the greater good is more focused on the outcome of your actions rather than the apparent virtue of the actions themselves—that is, a focus on the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Originally proposed by a Scottish philosopher named David Hume, this approach to ethics is also referred to as utilitarianism. The problem with this approach to ethics is the idea that the ends justify the means (41).

- **Universal ethics**
  Originally attributed to a German philosopher named Immanuel Kant, universal ethics argues that there are certain and universal principles that should apply to all ethical judgments. Actions are taken out of duty and obligation to a purely moral ideal rather than based on the needs of the situation, since the universal principles are seen to apply to everyone, everywhere, all the time. The problem with this approach is the reverse of the weakness in ethics for the greater good. If all you focus on is abiding by a universal principle, no one is accountable for the consequences of the actions taken to abide by those principles (42, 43).

  - **Ethical relativism**
    When the limitations of each of these theories are reviewed, it becomes clear that there is no truly comprehensive theory of ethics, only a choice is made based on your personal value system. In this context, it is easier to understand why, when faced with the requirement to select a model of how we ought to live our lives; many people choose the idea of ethical relativism, whereby the traditions of their society, their personal opinions, and the circumstances of their present moment define their ethical principles. The idea of relativism implies some degree of flexibility as opposed to strict black-and-white rules (44).

**Summary of Prior Reviews**

Previously researchers published a review of the empirical research on ethical decision-making from 1978 to 1992, the first literature review on this subject. At this time, research was mostly no empirical and was lacking in theory development and testing. The scarcity of empirical research and lack of theory development and testing hindered, in Ford and son’s opinion, the development of the field of ethical decision-making. Their results indicated the majority of research involved individual factors: aspects of ethical decision-making uniquely associated with an individual decision maker. Individual factors that received the most attention in empirical research were personal attributes associated with gender (13 studies), age (44), nationality (4), and religion (5). Twenty-three findings were related to education and an individual’s employment background (type and
The final section categorized findings in the areas of an individual's personality, beliefs, and values (7 totals). In sum, 59 findings related to individual factors. Because an individual does not work in a vacuum, empirical results related to referent groups (labeled “organizational” in later reviews) also appeared in the studies. Articles reported findings about organizational factors such as significant others (peers versus management influence; codes of conduct, levels within the organization (45, 46); ethics training and culture (4); rewards and sanctions within the organization structure (7); and industry and organization size (3 studies each). The studies published in the past decade were used that summarized empirical research on ethical decision-making between 1992 and 1996 (47). Using a similar format as Ford and Richardson, this literature review added Jones' synthesis of ethical decision-making model to categorize findings because it used the “most comprehensive synthesis model of ethical decision-making”. The addition of moral intensity, as defined by Jones, was also included in this literature review (48). The findings centered on positive, rather than normative, models of ethical behavior. Positive models, or descriptive ethics, focus on how individuals actually behave rather than normative models that are more theoretical and focus on how individuals should behave. Positive models are more often evaluated and are suited for empirical research using scientific modes of inquiry and study (49, 50).

Similarly, another study found the individual factor studied most often was gender (47), as echoed in the earlier review. Perhaps this was because it was an easy variable to test and about which to gather information. Age (49, 50), nationality (51), and religion (5) were again represented in the results. Eighteen findings related to education, employment, and experience were included, as well as personality factors locus of control (4). However, more individual findings were found to have been studied during this time period, including cognitive moral development and the development of ethical judgment (45, 52). Finally, a significant increase in research in moral philosophy and value orientation was seen. For example, 21 findings were related to topics such as deontological and teleological philosophies; professional values; relativism; and the changing of moral philosophies in different situations. It should be noted that researchers defined findings in this area as personality, beliefs, and values, whereas Ford and Richardson discussed personality factors as well as moral philosophy in the same section (47, 48). In addition, they found 15 studies that addressed awareness and perception of ethical decisions and 4 studies provided empirical results on intent, two areas not mentioned in Ford and Richardson. Awareness of codes of conduct; ethical sensitivity to ethical situations; perception of ethical situations; and differences in ethical Sensitivity was found for awareness. Studies related to subjective norms, ethical attitudes, and perceived importance of ethical issues was also discussed. In sum, 122 findings were related to individual factors.

A departure from Ford and Richardson (1994) is seen in Loe et al. with the inclusion of moral intensity as a separate factor. Two studies researched areas related to moral intensity (53-56). Findings discussed in moral intensity included the perceived importance of an ethical issue influencing behavioral intention and the influence of moral intensity on the ethical decision-making process. Chan and Leung (2006) found that Age was positively correlated with ethical sensitivity (57, 58). Eweje and Brunton (2010) realized that cannot conclude older students are more ethically oriented than younger students (59, 60). Krambia-Kapardis and Zopiatis announced that Individuals over 30 were more ethical than those under 30 regarding perception (61, 62). Interestingly, researchers observed over cognitive moral development found that females were higher overall in their level of moral reason-
ing ability (63). In a study over cultural values/nationality found that Cultural factors impacted on student perceptions of ethical and moral dilemmas, perceptions related to themselves and their peers (59). Researchers in a study on cultural values/nationality found that American business people are more likely to perceive unethical marketing behaviors as more serious than their Turkish and Taiwanese counterparts (64).

**Conclusion**

The literature examining gender continues to produce fairly consistent findings. There are often no differences found between males and females, but when differences are found, females are more ethical than males.

**Philosophy/value orientation**

There were a total of 42 findings for philosophy/value orientation. These studies range from examining the differences between idealism and relativism to deontological versus teleological perspectives to other value orientations, such as achievement and economic values (65, 66). The research examining idealism and relativism has produced consistent results. That is, idealism and deontology are positively related to the ethical decision-making process, whereas relativism and teleology are negatively related. Comparison to past reviews reported only one finding regarding value orientation (51). Political orientation produced no significant findings, while economic orientation was associated with unethical behavior. Rule deontologists rank higher on an ethical behavior scale than any other philosophy types and deontology and teleology have significant influences on the decision-making process (47). There were no reported findings regarding idealism and relativism.

**Education, employment, job satisfaction, and work experience**

Forty-one findings were reported with respect to education (type and number of years of education), employment, job satisfaction, and work experience. Of these, six studies examined differences between student majors on the ethical decision-making process; five of which found no significant findings (67). However, researchers found that non-business majors were more ethical than business majors. In another 11 studies, years of education, employment or work experience did not significantly influence or marginally influenced ethical decision-making. Other studies reported positive influences, such as individuals in the latter years of their career displayed higher ethical judgment while others reported negative influences. For example, CEO tenure was found to be negatively related to the ethical decision-making process (68, 69).

Comparison to past reviews of the 23 studies included in their review, eight examined type of education. Five of these studies reported little or no significant findings, while the remaining three studies produced mixed results (51). Of the remaining 15 studies with respect to years of education or employment, eight discovered no significant findings. Four of the remaining studies produced results that favor more education, experience or employment. After eliminating the studies that were used in Ford and Richardson’s review (total of 16), there were only two new studies that examined this variable. One study was in support of the notion that employment does influence ethical decision making, while the other found no relationship (47).

The research generally indicates that more education, employment or work experience is positively related to ethical decision-making (12 of 18 studies). However, type of education has little or no effect on the ethical decision-making process (10 of 14 studies). In addition, it is interesting to note that seven studies compared practitioners to students; three of which found students to be less ethical than practitioners. This has important im-
Applications for research, as many researchers study ethical decision making using student samples. In the 25 findings examining nationality, five studies found few or no differences across cultures. However, most studies and results are not directly comparable as, for the most part, each study examined different nations. Among the studies comparing the U.S. to other nations, the results have been mixed. Some suggest that U.S. respondents make better ethical decisions, whereas other studies suggest that U.S. respondents may not make better ethical decisions (70-74).

Comparison to past reviews consisting of five studies, the results were mixed. Two of the five showed no significant findings (51). Of the three remaining studies, two indicated that U.S. respondents were more ethical than non-U.S. respondents. After eliminating the five studies that overlapped with Ford and Richardson's review, six new studies were included in their review, all of which found significant differences. However, only one study compared the U.S. to another nation and found that U.S. managers consider ethical issues to be more important than U.K. managers (6, 47).
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