Int J Ethics Soc 2026; 8(2): 115-119

10.22034/ijethics.458.1

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF Ethics & Society

Letter to Editor

Ethical Evaluation of Epigenetic Technologies Across Different Cultural Contexts

Amina Petković
Faculty of Medicine, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Corresponding Author: Amina Petković, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina. E-mail: aminap@mf.unsa.ba

Received 25 Mar 2026 **Revised** 29 Apr 2026 **Accepted** 06 May 2026 **Online Published** 18 Jul 2026

Abstract

Introduction: Recent advances in epigenetic technologies have introduced new ethical challenges to our understanding of human adaptation and the biological transmission of traits. The level of acceptance of these technologies is not uniform across different cultures and generations; rather, it depends on factors such as social trust, educational attainment, and moral values. This study aims to examine the process of ethical acceptance of epigenetic technologies across diverse cultural contexts.

Conclusion: The findings of the present study indicate that societies characterized by institutional transparency and equal educational opportunities experience a faster and more coherent process of ethical acceptance. In contrast, in low-trust environments or settings marked by educational inequality, the acceptance of these technologies is accompanied by delays or discontinuities. These findings underscore the importance of educational policymaking and transparent governance in addressing the ethical challenges posed by emerging technologies.

Keywords: *Epigenetics; Bioethics; Technology.*

How to Cite: Petković A. Ethical Evaluation of Epigenetic Technologies Across Different Cultural Contexts, Int J Ethics Soc. 2026;8(2): 115-119. doi: 10.22034/ijethics.458.1

INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in biotechnology and body-integrated technologies, including neural interfaces, sensory enhancement devices, and implantable systems, have shifted discussions concerning human dignity, quality of life, and emerging ethical implications from the realm of theoretical debate to that of practical policymaking. As access to these technologies continues to expand, consideration of clinical safety and individual informed consent alone is no longer sufficient. It is equally important to examine how different societies perceive, interpret, and ultimately accept or distrust these technologies. Factors such as institutional trust, educational quality, educational equity, and cultural narratives play a crucial role in shaping this process and may determine whether a technology is perceived as a means of empowerment, a source of inequality, or even a cultural threat.

Meanwhile, biological research over the past decade has demonstrated that environmental conditions can influence patterns of gene expression through epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation and histone modifications, and that under certain circumstances these changes may remain partially stable across subsequent generations. These findings have raised new ethical questions:

Copyright © 2026 Petković A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

if prolonged human interaction with body-integrated technologies can induce changes with transgenerational persistence, what ethical responsibilities does the present generation bear toward future generations? Furthermore, how should governance and regulatory systems respond to such possibilities?

Although the literature on technology acceptance and diffusion and the body of research concerning the persistence of epigenetic modifications both address aspects of this issue, little effort has been made to integrate these perspectives into a coherent analytical framework grounded in ethical considerations. Well-established models of technology diffusion, such as Rogers' S-curve model and technology acceptance frameworks, can explain patterns of social adoption, while epigenetic research identifies plausible biological mechanisms. Nevertheless, no comprehensive model has yet been developed that simultaneously examines the role of cultural values in both the process of technology acceptance and its potential transgenerational consequences. Accordingly, the present study seeks to investigate the ethical acceptance of epigenetic technologies across different cultural contexts. By providing an interdisciplinary analysis that integrates bioethics, social sciences, and futures-oriented modeling, this study aims to facilitate the development of regulatory policies and governance strategies that are aligned with the rights of future generations, social justice, and institutional transparency.

DISCUSSION

The issue of the ethical acceptance of epigenetic technologies and its ethical implications emerges at the intersection of three major lines of research: theories of technology diffusion and acceptance, studies on neurotechnologies and the biotechnological integration of the human body, and empirical evidence concerning transgenerational epigenetic inheritance. Each of these fields provides concepts, methodologies, and findings that are essential for developing a socio-biological and ethical model.

1. Innovation Diffusion and Technology Acceptance

Classical theories of innovation diffusion, particularly the S-curve and the adopter categorization derived from Rogers' work, provide the principal framework for understanding how emerging technologies spread throughout a population. More quantitative models, such as the Bass diffusion model, together with technology acceptance models developed within information systems research (e.g., TAM and UTAUT), demonstrate that the rate and extent of technology adoption result from the interaction of perceptual, network-related, and contextual factors. Two key insights from this literature are incorporated into the present model. First, technology acceptance is inherently multifactorial and highly sensitive to social moderators such as institutional trust and cultural narratives. Second, collective adoption curves can emerge from relatively simple behavioral rules that simultaneously account for horizontal transmission (public communication and market interactions) and vertical (intergenerational) transmission.

2. The Role of Cultural Moderators

International studies indicate that institutional trust and access to education often exert a greater influence than purely material factors such as cost or accessibility. In technologies directly related to health and the human body, institutional legitimacy and cultural narratives assume an even more prominent role, and considerable cross-national differences in public trust in science and technology have been documented. Education not only enhances technical knowledge but also shapes perceptions of risks and benefits. Consequently, the existing literature emphasizes that trust and education should be regarded not

merely as contextual conditions but as measurable moderating variables within technology diffusion models.

3. Neurotechnologies, Implantable Devices, and Ethical Concerns

The rapid development of neural interfaces, implantable sensory prostheses, and integrated biomedical systems has transformed theoretical debates into practical policy challenges. The ethics of technology literature primarily focuses on issues such as personal identity, bodily integrity, distributive justice, and appropriate regulatory frameworks. A central implication for the present ethical model is that ethical and political responses to the same technology may vary substantially depending on the cultural and institutional context. Therefore, normative and institutional heterogeneity must be explicitly incorporated into the analytical framework.

4. Epigenetic Inheritance

Epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation and histone modifications, demonstrate that environmental stimuli can alter patterns of gene expression. Several animal studies have reported persistent multigenerational effects, while a limited number of human studies have identified evidence suggesting the persistence of epigenetic signals across two or three generations. Nevertheless, the available human evidence remains limited, context-dependent, and uncertain with respect to effect size. Stable germline transmission in humans has not been conclusively established nor shown to be widespread. Accordingly, the scientific literature recommends that potential transgenerational effects be modeled as probabilistic and conditional parameters rather than deterministic pathways.

5. Integrative Approaches and Computational Methods

Several studies have attempted to integrate agent-based modeling, population genetics, and models of social diffusion. However, epigenetic effects have generally been represented in an abstract manner or independently of social dynamics, while their ethical implications have received comparatively little attention. This gap between the two approaches provided the principal motivation for the development of the present integrated model, in which educational and trust indicators are derived from international datasets, whereas vertical transmission and intergenerational decay rates are represented as parameterized variables.

6. Methodological Implications

The existing literature recommends four fundamental methodological principles: transparency in model assumptions, explicit consideration of uncertainty through Monte Carlo simulation, validation against empirical diffusion data, and ethical framing rather than claims of deterministic prediction. The present model was developed in accordance with these principles by defining a set of operational parameters, transforming qualitative constructs into reproducible quantitative indicators, calibrating the model using historical data, and presenting the findings within uncertainty intervals.

7. Normative Framework and Policy Implications

The literature on technology governance and ethics emphasizes that computational models become valuable for policymaking when they demonstrate how policy interventions - such as improving educational equity, enhancing regulatory transparency, and promoting inclusive implementation strategies - affect ethical outcomes related to intergenerational justice. Modeling should therefore not be regarded as a tool for deterministic prediction but rather as a framework for exploring alternative scenarios and prioritizing ethical and policy interventions.

Principal Dimensions of the Role of Cultural Contexts in the Acceptance of Epigenetic Technologies

Three principal dimensions illustrate how the interaction among social trust, educational attainment, and cultural frameworks can influence both the rate and trajectory of the ethical acceptance of epigenetic technologies.

1. General Pattern of Intergenerational Acceptance

This pattern consists of three sequential stages:

A. Initial skepticism: Only a small proportion of the first generation places trust in the technology.

B. Accelerated acceptance: As public awareness and social legitimacy increase, the rate of acceptance rises rapidly.

C. Stabilization: In later generations, acceptance reaches a relatively stable equilibrium.

The intensity and timing of these three stages vary across different cultural and educational groups.

2. Scenario Differences Based on Socioeconomic Conditions

Existing studies indicate that:

- Societies characterized by high levels of education and institutional trust experience the fastest rates of technology acceptance.
- Societies constrained by institutional limitations or widespread public distrust exhibit slower, less stable, and more fragmented adoption trajectories.
- In low-income societies, even under favorable conditions, the time required to achieve stable acceptance remains considerably longer.

These findings suggest that economic conditions alone do not determine technology acceptance. Rather, the combined effects of educational equity, institutional transparency, and social capital play a more decisive role.

3. Sensitivity Analysis and Intergenerational Stability

Three categories of factors exert the greatest influence on the timing and long-term stability of technology acceptance:

- Social trust: Moderate increases in public trust can reduce the number of generations required to achieve stable ethical acceptance.
- Educational attainment and educational equity: Education reinforces perceived legitimacy while reducing ethical resistance to technological innovation.
- Intergenerational transmission and decay: When the transmission of knowledge or trust between generations is weak, technology acceptance declines in subsequent generations.

Accordingly, three overarching patterns can be identified:

1. Technology acceptance is primarily determined by social dynamics rather than solely by biological or technical characteristics.
2. Trust and education play central roles in shaping ethical judgment.
3. Intergenerational stability requires sustained investment in social capital and the prevention of erosion in public trust.

These findings emphasize that the ethical governance of emerging technologies requires the simultaneous integration of transparent policymaking, inclusive education, and accountable institutional structures.

CONCLUSION

Recent advances in epigenetic technologies have created opportunities to reconsider ideas that were previously confined to theoretical or speculative discussions. Nevertheless, as demonstrated in the present study, evaluating such biological capabilities is neither feasible nor ethically justifiable without a coherent and

context-sensitive ethical framework. The findings indicate that the acceptance and application of epigenetic technologies are significantly influenced by social factors, including public trust, cultural norms, and educational structures.

This study argues that the ethical evaluation of epigenetic technologies should be grounded in two complementary principles: culture-informed education and the protection of cognitive and identity integrity. The findings further demonstrate that deficiencies in institutional trust or educational inequality may constitute substantial barriers to the practical implementation of these innovations, even when the underlying scientific knowledge has reached a high level of maturity. Consequently, the social acceptance of epigenetic technologies highlights the necessity of adopting integrated approaches within bioethics. Furthermore, as these technologies increasingly evolve toward systems integrated with neural networks, greater attention must be devoted to principles such as transparency, informed consent, and cultural sensitivity.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Ethical issues (such as plagiarism, conscious satisfaction, misleading, making and or forging data, publishing or sending to two places, redundancy and etc.) have been fully considered by the writer.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

FUNDING DECLARATION

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

FURTHER READING

1. Marangunić N, Granić A. Technology acceptance model: a literature review from 1986 to 2013. Universal Access in the Information Society, 2015; 14(1): 81-95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-014-0348-1
2. Al-Emran M, Granić A. Is it still valid or outdated? A bibliometric analysis of the technology acceptance model and its applications from 2010 to 2020." Recent advances in technology acceptance models and theories. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021.
3. Rondan-Cataluña F J, Arenas-Gaitán J, Ramírez-Correa P E. A comparison of the different versions of popular technology acceptance models: A non-linear perspective. Kybernetes, 2015; 44(5): 788-805. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-09-2014-0184
4. Lala G. The emergence and development of the technology acceptance model (TAM)." Marketing from Information to Decision, 2014; 7: 149-160.
5. Malatji W R, Van Eck R, Zuva T. Understanding the usage, modifications, limitations and criticisms of technology acceptance model (TAM). Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal, 2020; 5.6: 113-117. https://doi.org/10.25046/aj050612
6. Straub D, Keil M, Brenner W. Testing the technology acceptance model across cultures: A three country study. Information & Management, 1997; 33: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7206(97)00026-8
7. Sales V M, Ferguson-Smith A C, Patti M E. Epigenetic mechanisms of transmission of metabolic disease across generations. Cell Metabolism, 2017; 25 (3): 559-571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.02.016
8. Dolinoy D C, Weidman J R, Jirtle R L. Epigenetic gene regulation: linking early developmental environment to adult disease. Reproductive Toxicology, 2007; 23 (3): 297-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2006.08.012
9. Ho S-M, et al. Environmental epigenetics and its implication on disease risk and health outcomes. ILAR Journal, 2012; 53 (3-4): 289-305. https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar.53.3-4.289
10. Szyf M, McGowan P, Meaney M J. The social environment and the epigenome. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis, 2008; 49 (1): 46-60. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20357
11. Dwivedi D K, Rana N P, Jeyaraj A, Clement M, Williams M D. Re-examining the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): Towards a revised theoretical model. Information Systems Frontiers, 2019; 21 (3): 719-734. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9774-y.